

United States Patent and Trademark Office

NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE tend States Patent and Trustemark, Office dates COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

PPLICATION NO.	FILING OATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/671,456	09/29/7003	Herbert Morgenstern	243231U90CONT	6650
22850 7	190 93/25/2004		EXAMBJER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			NAKARANI, DIBIRAILAL S	
1940 DUKE S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMB

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 10/671,456	Applicant(s) MORGENSTERN ET AL Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	D. S. Nakarani	1773	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT	TION.		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT Edensors of time may be wealable under the provincers of 37- rater 50 K (9) MONTHS from the making date of this communica- E the period for reply specified above its less than thirty (30) day E NO period for reply a specified above, the maximum statistics E NO period for reply as period above the maximum statistics Finisher to reply within the net or extended genoral for reply with, to Average to retrieved to the COLIC later than there reports along the	FION. CFR 1,136(a). In no event, however, may a too. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply end will expers SIX (6) MC.	reply be timely filed ity (30) days will be considered NTHS from the mailing date of SHANDONED 135 U.S.C. 5 133	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Existensians of time into the evaluation under the provisions of 37 rater SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of 01s communication - If the period for reply aspecified above is less than thirty (20) days INO period for reply in specified above, the mandmunications of the period of th	FION. CFR 1,136(a). In no event, however, may a too. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply end will expers SIX (6) MC.	reply be timely filed ity (30) days will be considered NTHS from the mailing date of SHANDONED 135 U.S.C. 5 133	

St

Pe

2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-8,10 and 11 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-8,10 and 11 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/830,090.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachmant(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/29/2003

Notice of Draffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: ______ Application/Control Number: 10/671,456 Art Unit: 1773

. . .

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art on which said subject matter perfains. Patentability shall not be negalated by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kishida et al (U.S. Patent 4, 478,903) in view of Kitazaki et al (U.S. Patent 5, 820,979) and Park et al (U.S. Patent 4,911,976).

Kishida et al disclose a composite plastic sheet made of polystyrene base sheet laminated with a conductive covering layer by co-extrusion. The polystyrene base sheet and the conductive covering layer are prepared using high impact strength polystyrene (Example 1). Kishida et al also disclose polystyrene base resin such as homopolymer of styrene, high impact polystyrene, styrene-butadiene block copolymer etc (col. 3, lines 10-32). Kishida et al also suggest addition of additives such as liquid paraffin, mineral oils or lubricants in the covering layer (col. 4, lines 18-21). Kishida et all sprocess of making composite is similar to the method disclosed in the instant invention (col. 4, lines 44-60). Kishida et all disclose a thickness of composite from 0.1 to 3.0 mm and the thickness of the covering layer between 2 and 70% of the total thickness of the composite (col. 4, line 67 to col. 5 line 20). In Example 1, the composite sheet having 0.5 mm total thickness, i.e. 0.4 mm thick base sheet and 0.1 mm thick cover layer. Therefore when composite sheet having thickness of higher than 1.5 mm to 3.00 mm

Art Unit: 1773

would result in article having cover layer thickness which will fall in the claimed range (i.e. as disclosed in Example: 80% thickness of base sheet and 20% thickness of cover layer. Thus 1.5 mm thick composite will have 1.2 mm base sheet and 0.3 mm (i.e. 300 microns) cover layer. Kishida et al fall to teach claimed amount of lubricant and adding additives using concentrate of additive in the resin.

Kitazaki et al teach use of lubricant in an amount from 0.1 to 0.5 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of resin (col. 3 lines 13-27). Kitazaki et al' polymer is a styrene based polymer such as hydrogenated styrene butadiene block copolymer.

Park et al disclose use of additive in the form of master batch in the polypropylene resin to use as an additive in the polypropylene resin (col. 3 lines 23-27).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention made to utilize discloser of Kitazaki et al and Park et al in the invention of Kishida et al to prepare master batch of lubricant using the resin which is used for making the layer and use concentrate to result in an amount disclosed by Kitazaki et al in the final cover layer.

3. Applicants arguments filed September 29, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In reference to rejection of claimed under 35USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Kishida et al (U.S. Patent 4, 478,903) in view of Kitazaki et al (U.S. Patent 5,820,979) and Park et al (U.S. Patent 4,911,976), applicants mainly argue that the claim 1 as amended exclude conductive carbon black from the composition of the outer laver while Kishida et alf souter laver contains conductive carbon black.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,456

Art Unit: 1773

Applicants further argue that Kitazaki et al do not disclose claimed thermoplastic polymers and park et al reference is directed to a multilayer film made of polyolefin.

These argument are unpersuasive because the amended claim 1 reads on kishida et al's surface layer containing conductive carbon black because the conductive carbon black is also a pigment and/or filler. Thus amended claim 1 does not exclude carbon black. Kitazaki et al reference is used to show amount of lubricant used in the styrene based polymers and Park et al reference is used for teaching addition of small of additives to polymers using master batch of additive (s).

- Receipt of Information Disclosure Statement filed with the application is acknowledge and has been made of record.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. S. Nakarani whose telephone number is (571) 272-1512. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J. Thibodeau, can be reached on (571) 272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9308.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for Art Unit: 1773

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.usplo.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 886-217-9197 (toil-free).

D. S. Nakarani Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

D. S. Nakarani/af February 12, 2004